

MAYA STEINITZ
Professor of Law
University of Iowa College of Law

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS (SELECTED)

HARVARD LAW SCHOOL, Cambridge, Massachusetts 2018

Visiting Professor of Law. Teach civil procedure, international business transactions, cross-border tort litigation, and litigation and law firm finance and the legal profession.

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA COLLEGE OF LAW, Iowa City, Iowa 2011 - Present

Professor (with tenure, since 2015) and Bouma Family Fellow; Associate Professor (2011-2015). Teach civil procedure, complex litigation, international business transactions, business associations, international arbitration and negotiations. Current research focuses on litigation and law firm finance, transnational litigation and international adjudication, and complex claims administration. While focusing on social-psychology and ethnography of law during my doctoral studies, since joining academia in 2009 my research has focused on law and economics and comparativism.

- *Teaching Interests:* Civil Procedure, Contracts, Torts, Business Associations, International Business Law (including International Business Transactions and International Arbitration), Professional Responsibility, Negotiations, and Law & Economics, Comparative Law.

COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL, New York, NY 2006; 2009 - 2011

Associate-in-Law. Taught LLM course “Comparative Introduction to American Law” (with Prof. G. Fletcher). Designed and organized, in association with the Columbia Center on International Investment, a conference on “State and State-Controlled Entities in International Investment Arbitration.”

Lecturer. Appointed to co-teach “The Legal Aspects of the Israeli - Palestinian Conflict.”

ACADEMIC PUBLICATIONS

WORKS IN PROGRESS

Books

- *Law and the Self: An Imaginary Exchange of Letters between H.L.A. Hart and G.H. Mead* (in progress).
In this re-working of my doctoral thesis, I will present a fictional debate between two titans of the 20th century—the social philosopher Herbert Mead and the legal philosopher Herbert Hart. Using this heuristic/artistic device, I will present my own argument that (i) phenomenologically, whatever else the law is it is patterned interactions between society’s Generalized Other (as defined by Mead), performed by legal institutions, and the Self; (ii) that the concept of law co-emerges with the phenomenon of law; and (iii) that important implications follow from the co-emergence of law and its concept for both substantive and methodological legal positivism.

Law Review Articles

- *Law Firm Finance and Governance in the 21st Century* (in progress).
Market forces—including statutory changes in the UK which now permits non-lawyer ownership of law firms and the emerging practice of third party financing of pools of litigations—will soon force a reevaluation of the prohibition on such finance and ownership of law firms in the United States. While such outside finance will create increased conflicts of interests (agency costs), the current broad-brush prohibition, I argue, is an overly-broad method of dealing with them. The main argument in this paper will be that many of the conflicts of interests can be dealt with (1) at the level of the individual litigation, using new litigation governance mechanisms that are emerging due to third party litigation funding, rather than at the level of the firm; and (2) at the organizational level, using Benefit Corporations rather than traditional corporations if and when law firms go public. In order to fully rethink at which level and through which mechanisms the conflicts of interests may be resolved, I will look at best practices offered in the field of medicine which, I argue, is a better (albeit completely overlooked) professional services industry analogy than those currently pervasive in the literature on law firms.
- *Litigation Aggregation and the Corporate Form* (in progress).
This paper will explore whether organizing plaintiffs in mass and class actions via business entities can advance the goal of reducing the myriad conflicts of interest and other oft-noted deficiencies of the existing aggregation devices. Use of business entities will also have the benefit of providing a work-around the certification requirements which, in recent years, have become near-insurmountable. The analysis will draw on the experience gained from the recent practice of ‘incorporating legal claims’ to facilitate M&As and third-party funding as well as on the European experience in limiting standing in collective redress actions to ‘associations’ (rather than individuals or American-style classes).

PUBLISHED WORKS

Books

- *The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice* (CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS 2019).
The book argues that we live in a world in which the victims of cross-border mass torts *de facto* lack a court to turn to in order to pursue legal action against multinational corporations responsible for disasters, atrocities and other harms. And even though tort victims ultimately receive no redress, corporations must nonetheless spend large sums to defend against sprawling, parallel litigations. The best way to provide a fair, legitimate, and efficient process for both victims and corporations is to create an International Court of Civil Justice (ICCJ). The book presents both justice-based and economics-based arguments in favor of an ICCJ. Closely associated with the economic arguments is a broader explanation for why the proposal is not only timely but also, perhaps counterintuitively, politically viable. The book will also provide a procedural and institutional design for such a court, addressing such issues as personal and subject-matter jurisdiction, remedies, appeal, preclusion, and judicial independence.

Articles

- Follow the Money? A Proposed Approach for Disclosure of Litigation Finance Agreements __ DAVIS L. REV. __ (forthcoming 2019).
Congress, state legislatures, state and federal courts, bar associations, and others are proceeding along dozens of parallel tracks, engaging in fierce debates about how best to regulate the

disclosure of litigation finance. This essay aims to turn those debates inside out. The thrust of the argument is that the quest for a bright-line rule by which to regulate disclosure of litigation funding is fundamentally misguided because it fails to account for the near-infinite variability of funding scenarios—scenarios that implicate widely different interests, pose different risks, and affect different constituencies to varying degrees. Instead of a bright line rule, this Essay proposes a shift to a standard-based approach and, specifically, a balancing test. After explaining the stakes, and laying out the reasons why finding a uniform approach has proved so controversial and elusive, the Essay culminates with a suggestion for a specific balancing test, including factors and interests to be weighed by courts on an ad hoc basis.

- *Transnational Litigation as Prisoners Dilemma* (with P. Gowder) 94 NORTH CAROLINA L. REV. 751 (2016).
In this article we use game theory to argue that perceptions of widespread corruption in the judicial processes in developing countries create *ex ante* incentives to act corruptly. Therefore, contrary to judicial narratives in individual cases—such as the (in)famous Chevron-Ecuador dispute used as illustration—the problem of corruption in transnational litigation is structural and as such calls for structural solutions. The article offers one such solution: the establishment of an international court of civil justice.
- *Back to Basics: Public Adjudication of Corporate Atrocities Mass Torts*, HARVARD JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL LAW (2016) (invited symposium essay).
Arguing that the international tort cases arising out of corporate atrocity crimes should be adjudicated in an international court, not in international arbitration.
- *Incorporating Legal Claims* 90 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1155 (2015).
This article proposes a new paradigm which would replace litigation-finance-as-champerty (the ‘legal ethics paradigm’) as the organizing idea in the literature and jurisprudence of litigation finance with litigation-finance-as-finance (the ‘incorporation paradigm’). It first argues that the problems created by litigation finance are all facets of the classic problem of the separation of ownership and control. It then suggests incorporating legal claims: conceiving of a claim as an asset with an existence separate from the plaintiff by issuing securities tied to litigation proceed rights. Such securities can be issued with or without the use of various business entities. The incorporation paradigm also opens up the possibility of applying practices of corporate governance to litigation governance. The theoretical argument is buttressed by an analysis of previously- overlooked deals that used securities tied to litigation proceed as well as corporate governance mechanisms.
- *The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*, 67 STAN. L. REV. ONLINE 74 (2014).
A foundational essay in which the main argument in the book by the same name (above) was presented.
- *A Model Litigation Finance Contract* (with A. C. Field) 99 IOWA L. REV. 711 (2014).
This article steps into the gap created by the absence of information about or discussion of litigation finance contracting by providing an annotated model contract. We (i) set out the efficiency and justice case for a model contract; (ii) build on previous work to make the case for using venture capital contracts as analog; (iii) describe the ethical and economic challenges constraining parties to litigation finance contracts and narratively explain the contractual solutions we have devised; (iv) provide a model contract; and (v) conclude by mapping a research agenda for the new field of litigation finance contracting.
 - See also *online research project*: litigationfinancecontract.com

In this first-ever instance of ‘crowd-sourced’ legal research I offered a model litigation finance contract, provision by provision, for discussion and debate. I linked our provisions to background essays we authored and hosted and edited guest commentary, which served as original research in their own right. Where persuaded by the commentary we revised our draft model. The final model contract was published as a section in the *A Model Litigation Contract*.

- *How Much is that Lawsuit in the Window? Pricing Legal Claims* 67 VANDERBILT L. REV. 1889 (2013).

How should parties to litigation finance and contingency fee agreements deal with the inherent difficulty in pricing legal claims? The answer lies in “staged funding” which allows all of the claim owners to minimize the effects of uncertainty, optimize the distribution of the proceeds, and increase the value of the option to settle—described here as a compound call option—by accounting for real options i.e., lawyers’ and funders’ option of adjusting their investments in response to new information. The article concludes with practical suggestions on how to adapt staged funding, common in other areas of finance, to third party litigation funding.

- *The Litigation Finance Contract*, 54 WILLIAM & MARY L. REV. 455 (2012).

Whereas the literature and case-law on litigation funding (“LF”) is based on an analogy between LF and contingency fees this article breaks away from that tradition and instead posits an analogy to venture capital (“VC”). The article explains the resemblance between the economics of LF and the well-understood economics of VC, both of which are characterized by extreme (1) uncertainty, (2) information asymmetry, and (3) agency costs. It discusses which contractual arrangements developed in VC directly apply to LF, which need adaptation, and how to adapt. The analogy turns most of the conventional wisdom on its head arguing that funders should be viewed as real parties in interest and should be allowed to purchase control over the litigation. Various policy implications of the conceptual shift are explored.

- *Whose Claim is This Anyway? Third-Party Litigation Funding*, 95 MINNESOTA L. REV. 1268 (2011).

The article identifies and describes the emerging secondary market in legal claims and the prospect of securitization of legal claims. It applies a bargaining analysis to understanding the systemic effects of the litigation finance industry and offers a three-step argument for a move away from a prohibition of litigation funding towards nuanced regulation of the industry. The article concludes with a five-pronged framework for the suggested regulatory regime.

- *Internationalized Pro-Bono and the Attorney-Client Relationship: Lessons from Nation Building in Southern Sudan*, YALE HRDL JOURNAL (Spring 2009).

This Note From the Field draws on the author’s experience leading a team of fifty attorneys in representing the Sudanese Peoples Liberation Movement in drafting and negotiating the National Interim Constitution of Sudan, the Interim Constitution of Southern Sudan, and the Constitutions of two “transitional” states. It provides some counter-intuitive observations on the role *pro bono* private practitioners play, in contrast with public sector attorneys and foreign aid providers, as they enter the ‘market’ of global affairs.

- *The International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda as Theater: The Social Negotiation of the Moral Authority of International Law*, 5 U. PENN. JILP 1 (2006).

This article explores the philosophical notion advanced by some positivists that law *qua* law claims legitimate and supreme authority through ethnographic research conducted at the international criminal tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR). It examines the means through which moral

authority is constructed and communicated by the ICTR and by extension by the International Criminal Courts (ICCs). It advances the argument that the ICCs seek to personify the Generalized Other (as the term is used in social-psychology) and demonstrates how they claim to embody the universal authority and morality of the international community.

- *'The Milosevic Trial - Live!': An Iconic Analysis of International Law's Claim of Legitimate Authority*, 3 OXFORD J. OF INT'L. CRIM. J. 103 (2005) (peer-reviewed).

It has been argued that in order for a normative system to qualify as law it must claim to possess legitimate authority and to be supreme to other normative systems. This article examines criminal war trials from an ethnographic perspective, trying to discern whether and how international law claims legitimate authority and supremacy. Specifically, it focuses on the deeply symbolic example of the Milosevic trial. It offers a sociological reading of the symbolism of the interpersonal dynamics at the trial to show how a claim of supreme, legitimate authority is socially constructed and explores the sub-textual claims of the trial.

- *The Ad Hoc International Criminal Tribunals and a Jurisprudence of the Deviant*, 7 INT'L LAW FORUM DU DROIT 129 (2005) (invited, peer-reviewed).

This short article is a synopsis of a doctoral thesis entitled "Law as Communication: A Concept of International Law," described below.

- *Law as Communication: A Concept of International Law*, J.S.D. DOCTORAL THESIS (NYU 2005).

The thesis seeks to defend Razian positivism, specifically the claim that "whatever else the law is, it either claims legitimate authority, is held to possess it, or both" in two ways. One, is by using concepts from the social philosophy of G. H. Mead and his intellectual disciples (principally Erving Goffman) to explain the emergence of law and the co-emergence of the concept of law. The other, is a dramaturgical ethnography of the international criminal courts. The ethnography is used as an illustration of how one normative system claiming to be 'law'—the international legal system—is claiming legitimate, supreme, authority.

Edited Volumes

- "Transnational Litigation Process Theories" in *THE INTERNATIONAL ADJUDICATION HANDBOOK* (C. Romano et. al, eds.) (OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS 2014).
- "Foreign Direct Investment by State-Controlled Entities—Do the Rules Need Changing?" in *FDI BY STATE-CONTROLLED ENTITIES* (Karl P. Sauvant and Lisa Sachs, eds.) (OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS 2012).
- Contributor, *RESTATEMENT ON INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION* (George A. Bermann et. al, eds.) (forthcoming).

Edited Journal Issues

- "Contingent Fees and Third Party Funding in Investment Arbitration Disputes," *TRANSNATIONAL DISPUTE MANAGEMENT* (2011) (with J. Matthews).
- "International Round Table on Law and Semiotics," *INT'L J. LAW AND SEMIOTICS* (Spring 2007) (with J. Brigham and A. Patakchi).

Unpublished Works in Circulation

- Written testimony on lawsuit lending before the New York State Senate Standing Committee on Consumer Protection, May 2018, available at https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3178963.
- Letter to the Hon. Sen. Orrt (NYS Senate) Regarding Lawsuit Lending, May 2018, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3238148 (a follow-up research memorandum provided the New York State Senate Standing Committee on Consumer Protection at its request following up on the testimony).
- “Experiential Teaching in Theory and Practice: An Annotated International Business Transaction Syllabus,” U. IOWA LEGAL STUDIES RESEARCH PAPER NO. 15-22 (with O. Shalomson and N. Steinitz - Edelman).

Refereeing (*selected*)

- European Journal of International Law
- Oxford University Press (multiple)
- Oxford University Press Year Book on International Investment Law
- Oxford Journal of International Criminal Justice
- Israel Science Foundation

LEGAL EXPERIENCE

JUDICIAL CLERKSHIPS

INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA, Rwanda & Tanzania 2003

Judicial Intern. Assisted judges in Trial Chamber with all aspects of judicial duties.

HON. E. HAYUT, TEL AVIV APPELLATE COURT, presently **CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ISRAEL** 1998 - 1999

Judicial Clerk. Served on one of five penultimate national courts of appeal, considered second in importance only to the Supreme Court of Israel. Assisted in all aspects of judicial duties of the Hon. E. Hayut. Also assisted panel chaired by the Hon. A. Grunis, former Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Israel, in all judicial tasks.

LITIGATION

LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP, New York, NY 2003 - 2009

Associate. Originated and led representation of the Government of Southern Sudan in the negotiation and drafting of peace agreements and post-conflict constitutions following more than 20 years of civil war. Represented companies and governments in all aspects of pre-claim, pre-hearing, and arbitral proceedings in arbitrations under the rules of the ICC, LCIA and UNCLOS, in enforcement actions in New York courts and in securities and antitrust investigations and litigation. Litigated multi-billion dollar post-merger and cross-border finance disputes on behalf of Fortune 100 and Fortune Global 500 companies.

Last updated February 2018.

FLEMMING, ZULACK & WILLIAMSON, LLP, New York, NY

2001 - 2002

Law Clerk. Participated in every aspect of sophisticated commercial trial practice for this Wall Street boutique law firm. Researched and wrote memoranda on issues including insurance, product liability, construction and contract law.

ARBITRATION AND ADJUDICATION

COURT MEMBER & ARBITRATOR

2009 - Present

Selected to serve as one of nine Members of the inaugural bench of the ICC's Jerusalem Arbitration Center, a joint venture of the Israeli and Palestinian chambers of commerce, which resolves commercial disputes between Israelis and Palestinians. Member of the rosters of arbitrators of the ICC Court of Arbitration (ICC), the International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR), the Singapore International Arbitration Center (SIAC), and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Serves as chair, sole arbitrator and co-arbitrator in commercial and finance arbitrations. Serve as a Member of the ICC Commission on Arbitration and the Academic Council of the Institute for Transnational Arbitration.

ISRAEL DEFENSE FORCES, Israel

1992 - 1995

Lieutenant. Served as officer in the Women's Corps including a tour as platoon commander on the Israeli - Jordanian border during handover of the Jericho military post to the Palestinian Authority in implementation of the Oslo Accords. Prior to becoming an officer, served as press coordinator for the IDF spokesman.

LECTURES AND PRESENTATIONS

- Presenter, "*The Erosion of the Rule of Law in Nazi Germany and How It Informs Challenges of Today*," Latham & Watkins, New York (June 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Past, Present and Future of Litigation and Law Firm Finance*," Western Bar Associations Annual Meeting, Hawaii (March 2019).
- Presenter, "*Disclosure of Litigation Finance, Pool Financing, and Fee Sharing*," New York City Bar Association Task Force on Litigation Finance (March 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*," International Institute on Sustainable Development Geneva (February 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*," British Institute of International and Comparative Law (February 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*," Max Planck Institute Luxembourg for Procedural Law (January 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*," Paris Institute of Political Studies (Sciences Po) (January 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*," Amsterdam University School of Law (January 2019).
- Presenter, "*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*," The Hague Academy of International Law (January 2019).

Last updated February 2018.

- Presenter, “*Alternative Litigation Funding in the Federal Courts*,” George Washington Law School (Nov. 2018).
- Moderator, “*Litigation or Arbitration?*” Harvard Law School (Oct. 2018).
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” Harvard Law School (Sept. 2018).
- Presenter, “*Law Firm Finance and Governance in the 21st Century*,” Harvard Law School (April 2018).
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” Harvard Law School (March. 2018).
- Moderator, “*Women in Arbitration and Gender Issues*,” Harvard Law School (Feb. 2018).
- Presenter, “*Facing the Future in International Arbitration: Evolving Issues, Practices and Solutions*” Fordham Law School (November 2017).
- Presenter, “*Third-Party Funding in Investor-State Dispute Settlement*,” Columbia Law School, (October 2017).
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” Haifa University, Israel, (October 2017)
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” College of Law and Management, Israel, (October 2017)
- Presenter, “*International Arbitration finance*,” Three Crowns, London (July 2017).
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” Northwestern Colloquium on law and global capitalism (May 2017).
- Commentator, comment on “Do Courts Matter for Firm Value? Evidence from the U.S. Court System,” American Law and Economic Association, Harvard Law School (May 2016).
- Presenter, *Litigation Finance*, Hebrew University of Jerusalem (June 2016).
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” Hebrew University of Jerusalem (May 2016).
- Presenter, *Back to Basics: Public Adjudication of Corporate Atrocities Mass Torts*, Harvard Law School (April 2016).
- Moderator, *Litigation funding*, NYU School of Law Center on Civil Justice (November 2015).
- Presenter, “*Lex arbitri Israel and Palestine: Current Status and Recent Developments*,” ICC International Court of Arbitration, Paris, France (October 2015).
- Presenter, “*The Jerusalem Arbitration Center*,” multiple presentations in Tel Aviv and Ramallah (June 2015).
- Presenter, “*The Case for an International Court of Civil Justice*,” NYU Law (July 2015).
- Presenter and Commentator, “*The Case For an International Court of Civil Justice*,” Midwest Regional Colloquium on International Law/International Organization, Northwestern Law School/American Bar Foundation (May 2015).
- Invited Panelist, “*The Color of Money: Ethical Issues in Alternative Litigation Funding*,” California Bar Association Annual Ethics Symposium, San Diego, CA (April 2015).

- Speaker, *“Transnational Litigation as Prisoner’s Dilemma,”* Washington & Lee School of Law Faculty Seminar (March 2015).
- Invited Panelist, *“Litigation Finance,”* 66th Annual Oil & Gas Law Conference of the Institute for Energy Law, Houston, Texas (February 2015).
- Speaker, *“Corruption and the Transnational Litigation Prisoner’s Dilemma,”* Florida International University Law School (November 2014).
- Moderator, *“Rethinking Litigation,”* Centennial Symposium Honoring the Work of Professor Hovenkamp, Iowa City, Iowa (October 2014).
- Presenter and Commentator, *“Corruption and the Transnational Litigation Prisoner’s Dilemma,”* Midwest Regional Colloquium on International Law/International Organization, Northwestern Law School/American Bar Foundation (May 2014).
- Invited Panelist, *“Litigation Finance: Contracts and Ethics,”* American Bar Association, 2014 National Conference on Professional Responsibility (May 2014).
- Invited Panelist, *Fraud in Transnational Litigation,* Stanford Law School (May 2014).
- Invited Panelist, *Litigation Finance: Contracts and Ethics,* American Bar Association, 2014 National Conference on Professional Responsibility (May 2014).
- Speaker and Commentator, *Corruption and the Transnational Litigation Prisoner’s Dilemma,* Midwest Regional Colloquium on International Law/International Organization, Northwestern Law School/American Bar Foundation (May 2014).
- Selected Paper Presentation, *A Model Litigation Finance Contract,* Legal Scholarship 4.0 Conference, Northeastern University School of Law, Boston (March 2014) (Paper selected based on national competition).
- Invited Panelist, *Financing International Arbitration,* Columbia Law School, NY (February 2014).
- Moderator, *Crowdsourcing Litigation Finance,* SFU School of Law, SF (January, 2014)
- Moderator, *The Jerusalem Arbitration Center,* NYU Law School, NY (November 2013).
- Invited Panelist, Roundtable on Third Party Funding of Litigation and Arbitration, Washington & Lee School of Law (November 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *Alternative Finance of Securities Litigation?* Annual Institute for Investor Protection Conference, Chicago (October 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *Financing International Arbitration,* Columbia Law School, NY (September 2013).
- “Incorporating Legal Claims,” University of Pennsylvania (Fall 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *The Litigation Finance Contract,* Windsor Law, Windsor, Ontario, Canada (July 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *The Jerusalem Arbitration Center: The Promise and the Challenges,* Center for Law and Business, Tel Aviv (June 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *Rights and Obligations in Litigation Finance,* Institute for Law & Economic Policy, Naples, Fl. (April 2013).

Last updated February 2018.

- Invited Panelist, *Litigation finance*, the ABA Litigation Section Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL (April 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *Financing the Chevron / Ecuador Litigation*, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA (February 2013).
- Invited Panelist, *The Jerusalem Arbitration Center in a Comparative Perspective*, Israel Social Avenues Conference, Sapir College, Sderot, Israel (December 2012).
- Invited Speaker, *International Arbitration*, Herzog, Fox & Ne'eman, Tel Aviv (July 2012).
- Trainer, *International Arbitration*, co-teach 4-day training program for lawyers, arbitrators and judges, Palestine Chamber of Commerce, Ramallah (July 2012).
- Trainer, *International Arbitration*, teach 2-day training program for lawyers, arbitrators and judges, Israel Chamber of Commerce, Tel Aviv (June 2012).
- Invited Speaker, *The Litigation Finance Contract*, University of Wisconsin School of Law, Madison (March 2012).
- Invited Panelist, *Third Party Funding of International Arbitration*, Third Annual ICC Asia Pacific Conference, San Francisco (March 2012).
- Moderator and Panelist, *Third Party Funding of International Arbitration*, 10th Annual International and Arbitration Conference, Miami (February 2012).
- Invited Panelist, *Women in Public Service*, 2nd Annual Duke University School of Law Women in the Law Conference Durham (January 2012).
- Invited Panelist, *Third Party Funding of International Arbitration Claims*, Fordham University School of Law (June 2011).
- Invited Panelist, *Ethics in International Arbitration*, Israel Bar Association/American Bar Association, Israel (May 2011).
- Invited Panelist, *Nuts and Bolts of Academic Publishing*, New York University School of Law (April 2011).
- Moderator, *Law, Religion and Politics Unbounded: Contemporary Perspectives on the Recent Developments in the Middle East and North Africa*, Columbia University School of Law (March 2011).
- Invited Panelist, *Newbies Speak: What I Wished I Had Known or Thought Harder About Before I Went on the Market*, Columbia University School of Law (March 2011).
- Invited Panelist, *Financing International Arbitration: Contingency Fees and Third-Party Funding*, Northern Kentucky University Symposium (February 2011).
- Invited Speaker, *Whose Claim Is It Anyway? Third Party Litigation Funding*, UCLA School of Law (December 2010).
- Invited Speaker, *Comparative Conflict Studies: A New Approach to International Conflict Resolution*, Conference on Law in the Service of Peace: A New Method for Teaching the Legal Dimensions of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, Columbia Law School (October 2010).
- Panelist and Organizer, *Forum Selection and Parallel Proceedings Involving States and State Controlled Entities in International Investment Arbitration*, Columbia Law School & Earth Institute (March 2010).

Last updated February 2018.

- Rapporteur, *FDI, the Global Crisis and Sustainable Recovery*, Columbia Law School & Earth Institute (November 2009).
- Invited Panelist, *Foreign Direct Investment by State-Controlled Entities—Do the Rules Need Changing?* Eight World Free Zone Convention, Charleston, South Carolina (December 2008).
- Invited Panelist, *Foreign Direct Investment by State-Controlled Entities—Do the Rules Need Changing?* Columbia Law School & Earth Institute (October 2008).
- Invited Panelist, *The Legacy of the Eichmann Trial*, Hebrew University Faculty of Law, Jerusalem (January 2008).
- Invited Panelist, *Internationalized Pro-Bono and the Attorney-Client Relationship: Lessons from Nation Building in Southern Sudan*, International Law Committee of the New York City Bar Association (March 2007).
- Invited Panelist, *Internationalized Pro-Bono and the Attorney-Client Relationship: Lessons from Nation Building in Southern Sudan*, Duke Law School (February 2007).
- Invited Panelist, *An International ‘Generalized Other’? The Social Negotiation of the Moral Authority at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda*, American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Montreal Canada, (June 2006).
- Invited Panelist, *An International ‘Generalized Other’? The Social Negotiation of the Moral Authority at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda*, International Round Table for the Semiotics of Law, Université du Littoral Côte d’Opale, France (May 2006).
- Invited Speaker, *International Law and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict*, Carnegie Mellon University Middle East Peace Forum (January 2006).
- Panelist, *The Milosevic Trial - Live!*, NYU School of Law (January 2004).

EDUCATION

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW, LL.M., 2000; J.S.D. 2005.

Honors: Emile Noel Fellow, Jean Monnet Center for and Regional Economic Law and Justice; Dean’s merit scholarships (multiple); Slavitt Postgraduate Fellowships; AVI foundation scholarship; Advanced Doctoral Research Fellowship, University College, Oxford.

HEBREW UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF LAW, Jerusalem, Israel, LL.B.1999.

Honors: Minerva Human Rights Inaugural Fellowship. *Teaching Assistant:* Introduction to Israeli Law; Introduction to Common Law.

Lecturer: Introduction to Legal Philosophy, 1998-1999.

BAR ADMISSIONS

Admitted to the New York State Bar (2004); Southern District of NY; Eastern District of NY.